I. Administrative

A. Roll
B. Extra credit opportunity this week
C. Schedule review
D. Questions?

II. O’Neill on Famine Relief

A. What is O’Neill’s method in this essay?
   1. She doesn’t believe that her method is “irresponsible”. Why would she wonder about this?
   2. What makes Kant so hard to apply, in her view?

B. What formulation of the categorical imperative does she focus on?
   1. How does she understand *maxim*?
   2. What is the key part of this formulation, from the perspective of applying it?
   3. What is so wrong in her view about deception or coercion?
   4. What is so right about being beneficent?

C. What are duties of justice? What are duties of beneficence?
   1. How do these line up with deception, coercion, and aiding others?
   2. Provide a Kantian argument based on justice against a maxim related to famine.
3. Provide a Kantian argument based on beneficence for a maxim related to famine.

D. What is the relationship between the Kantian perspective and the utilitarian perspective on famine relief?

1. How does the Kantian view differ in scope from the utilitarian view?

2. How does it differ in the “precision with which it guides action”?

3. How does O’Neill argue that we tie consequences to intentions, at least in a small way?